

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,557	10/699,557 10/31/2003		Samuel Jotham Reich	129402.00801	7768
21269	7590	12/04/2006		EXAMINER	
PEPPER H			MCGARRY, SEAN		
500 GRAN		TER, 50TH FLOOR Γ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBUR	GH, PA	15219	1635		
				DATE MAILED 12/04/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TH

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			57	REICH ET AL.					
			r	Art Unit					
		Sean R.	-	1635					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicatio r Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 September	<u>2006</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	•								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>33-77</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.						
Application	on Papers								
9) 🔲 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10) 🔲 🗀	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Ī				-					
Attachment	(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	18)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
	No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	• •					

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Applicant election with traverse of Group II claims 33-77 on 9/15/06 is acknowledged. However the need for an election of species of the elected group has become apparent in the case and is set forth below. The need for a species election has become apparent with a preliminary search of the subject matter claimed.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: In claim 39[38 is generic] there are distinct species of delivery agents. In claim 42 [claim41 is generic] distinct ligands are recited. In claims 46 and 47 distinct vectors are recited (plasmid and viral) and claims 47 and 50 recite distinct viral vectors where claim 49 further recites distinct psuedotyped viral vectors. Claims 51 and 53 recite distinct modes of administration (enteral and parenteral, respectively) and claim 54 recites distinct parenteral modes of administration. Claims 64-65, 68, 69-70 all recite various angiogenic diseases to be treated. The species are independent or distinct because the claimed methods would require different method steps using different compounds and/or compositions to perform the generic claims. The various species re distinct because they would require the targeting of different cell and/or tissue types for the treatment of different diseases. For example the treatment of macular degeneration vs the treatment of a specified cancer. The burden of search and examination is a burden in this case since the treatment of these various diseases with various combinations of carriers and modes of administration are no coextensive in search or considerations.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,557

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant should elect such that the elections correspond. For example, if applicant elects a liposome delivery agent applicant should also then elect a specified ligand within the liposome. If applicant elects cellfectin then applicant should not elect a ligand for use in the liposome of claim 41 and should not indicate claim 41 as reading on the elected species. Currently, claims 33, 38, 41, 61, 67 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1635

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R. McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Doug Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,557

Art Unit: 1635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean R McGarry Primary Examiner Art Unit 1635 Page 5